IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS § Criminal No.: B-07-280-05

§

Domingo Santibanez §

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The Magistrate Court submits this Report and Recommendation to the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3). This case has been referred, by order of the District Court, to the United States Magistrate Judge for the taking of a felony guilty plea and the Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 11 Allocution. All parties executed a waiver of the right to plead guilty before a United States District Judge as well as a consent to proceed before a United states Magistrate Judge.

On **May 10, 2007**, the defendant and counsel appeared before the Magistrate Judge, who addressed the defendant personally in open court and informed the defendant of the admonishments under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of criminal Procedure and determined that the defendant was competent to plead guilty and fully understood said admonishments.

The defendant entered a plea of guilty to the **offense of transporting and moving, and attempting** to transport and move, a certain undocumented alien within the United States, by means of a motor vehicle and on foot, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and 1324(a)(1)(A)(v)(ll), as alleged in Count **Three** of the Indictment. The Government summarized the written plea agreement in the presence of the defendant and **his** attorney. The Court explained to the defendant that **he** would be subject to sentencing pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission. The Court

2

The Magistrate Judge finds the following:

- The defendant, with the advice of his attorney, has consented orally and in writing to enter
 this guilty plea before the Magistrate Judge, subject to final approval and sentencing by the
 District Judge;
- 2. The defendant fully understands the nature of the charges and penalties;
- The defendant understands his constitutional and statutory rights and wishes to waive these rights;
- 4. The defendant's plea is made freely and voluntarily;
- 5. The defendant is competent to enter this plea of guilty;
- 6. There is an adequate factual basis for this plea; and

Recommendation

The Magistrate Court **Finds** that a plea agreement exists between the defendant and the Government as follows;

In exchange for the defendants plea of guilty the government agrees to recommend to the Court that:

- 1) the defendant's guideline score be reduced by 2 points based on an 'early plea pursuant to the government's 5k motion of the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines;
- 2) the defendant be given full credit for acceptance of responsibility and the defendants waiver of **his** right to appeal be accepted; and
- 3) the defendant be sentenced at the bottom of the guideline to which **he** scores and the remaining count be dismissed at the time of sentencing.

The Magistrate Court **RECOMMENDS** that the District Court accept the plea of guilty and, after

reviewing the pre-sentence investigation report, enter final judgment of guilty against the defendant.

Warnings

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must

3

specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are being made. The District

Court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections. Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n,

834 F.2d 419 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained

within this Report within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of the Report shall bar that party from

de novo review by the District Court of the proposed findings and recommendations and, except upon

grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from appellate review of proposed factual findings and legal

conclusions accepted by the District Court to which no objections were filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C);

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150-153, 106 S.Ct. 466, 472-74 (1985); Douglas v. United States' Automobile

Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. en banc 1996).

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Report and Recommendation to all parties.

DONE at Brownsville, Texas, this the 10th day of May, 2007.

Felix Recio

United States Magistrate Judge